UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

VLADIMIR ZEMLYAKOV 20 EMERSON COURT NORTH ANDOVER MA 01845

COPY MAILED

NOV 1 4 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Zemlyakov et al. : LETTER DISMISSING PETITION

Application No. 10/017,280
Filed: 7 December, 2001
For: UPPER EXTREMITY
EXOSKELETON STRUCTURE AND

METHOD:

This is a decision in reference to the letter filed on 7 September, 2006, which is treated as a renewed petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

This application became abandoned on 7 November, 2003, for failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by the Notice of Allowability mailed on 6 August, 2003, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 3 June, 2004. The petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment filed on 21 June, 2004, was dismissed on 30 March, 2006. The petition filed on 25 May, 2006, was dismissed on 11 July, 2006.

The petition cannot be considered on the merits because it is not properly signed. Specifically, 37 CFR 1.4 requires that all papers filed in the USPTO must be signed by either a registered patent attorney or all of the inventors in an application. As this application contains an executed declaration listing Vladimir Zemlyakov and Pactrick McDonough as the joint inventors, the petition must be signed by both inventors or their registered patent attorney.

Petitioners request that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn "because Applicants have sent the formal reply including the substance of the Interview with correct drawings in timely, mailed August 7, 2003, and Issue and Publication Fees (\$950.00) mailed September 17, 2003."

Petitioner's argument has been considered, but is not persuasive. As stated in the Decision on Petition mailed on 30 March, 2006, and 11 July, 2006, applicants were required to file a response in reply to the Interview Summary mailed on 6 August, 2003, but applicants failed so to do. Applicants statement that "[T]he Interview is primary, for which Applicants mailed [a] formal response," is not persuasive because applicants clearly failed to file a response to the Interview Summary. As previously stated, a timely response to the Interview Summary was required to be filed, regardless of whether applicants believed that their prior response had satisfied the issues raised during the interview.

Further, it is noted in paragraph 3 of the present request for reconsideration that petitioners state that a reply was filed on 7 August, 2003, addressing the drawing deficiencies noted in the non-final Office action mailed on 16 July, 2003. Petitioners further state that "Applicants claim that Certificate of Mail dated 7 August, 2003, and date of mailing clearly indicate that that Applicant's reply was sent in response to the Examiner's interview held on 5 August, 2003. Really, if the letter was mailed after the Interview date, the letter was the response for that action." This argument lacks merit because the transmittal letter states that the reply mailed on 7 August, 2003, was in response to the Office action mailed on 16 July, 2003, not the Examiner's Interview Summary mailed on 6 August, 2003. showing of record is that no response to the Interview Summary was ever filed, as required.

As such, the showing of record is that the abandonment resulted from the failure of petitioners to provide corrected drawings in response to the Interview Summary, rather than an error on the part of the USPTO.

As such the application is properly held abandoned.

It is further noted that proper drawings have still not been received. Corrected drawings should be filed with any renewed petition.

The petition is again **DISMISSED**.

Petitioners are **strongly advised** to file a petition to revive under 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b), along with corrected drawings.

Any request for reconsideration must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS of the date of this decision.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3231.

2) Was

Douglas I. Wood Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions

Encl: Form PTO/SB/64

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)				
First named in	ventor:	_		
Application No) .:	Art Unit:		
Filed:		Examiner	;	
Title:				
Attention: Office Mail Stop Pet Commissioner P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, V/	i tion r for Patents)	~		
FAX (571) 273 N		sistance is needed in completing this f 272-3282.	form, please contact Petitions	
action by the	United States Patent and	ne abandoned for failure to file a tim Trademark Office. The date of abando ice notice or action plus an extensions	onment is the day after the expiration	
	APPLICANT HEREB	Y PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THI	IS APPLICATION	
N	(1) Petition fee;(2) Reply and/or iss(3) Terminal disclaifiled before June	requires the following items: sue fee; mer with disclaimer fee - required for a e 8, 1995; and for all design applicatio he entire delay was unintentional.		
1.Petition fee Small entity-fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17(m))				
2. Reply and/o A. T ti	he reply and/or fee to the	above-noted Office action in	(identify type of reply):	
	has been filed previous is enclosed herewith	ously on	_ ·	
В. Т		ion fee (if applicable) of \$usly on		
		(Page 1 of 2)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/64 (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee		
Since this utility/plant application was filed of	on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.	
PTO/SB/63). 4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the requifiling of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137. Trademark Office may require additional informa abandonment or the delay in filing a petition und subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]	for a small entity or \$ for a small entity or \$ required period of time is enclosed herewith (see red reply from the due date for the required reply until the (b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and tion if there is a question as to whether either the er 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), WARNING:	
contribute to identity theft. Personal information such numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the rof the application (unless a non-publication request in coof a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandon referenced in a published application or an issued patent	rsonal information in documents filed in a patent application that may as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the such personal information from the documents before submitting them ecord of a patent application is available to the public after publication impliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance ed application may also be available to the public if the application is t (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-the application file and therefore are not publicly available.	
Signature	Date	
Typed or printed name	Registration Number, if applicable	
Address	Telephone Number	
Other:	itements establishing unintentional delay	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being Deposited with the United States Postage as first class mail in an enverse Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria,	stal Service on the date shown below with sufficient elope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for	
Date	Signature	
	Typed or printed name of person signing certificate	

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.